

To: Loos, Michael[mloos@blm.gov]
Cc: Abraham Wheeler[a2wheele@blm.gov]
From: Mastrofini, Kristi
Sent: 2017-05-30T11:09:32-04:00
Importance: Normal
Subject: Fwd: Updated Forms for Monument Review.
Received: 2017-05-30T11:09:40-04:00
Additional Information Requested Template 5 25 2017.docx
Initial Data Request Related to Review of National Monuments 5-25-2017.docx

Data request, assistance from Timber needed please. I have Carolina Hoopers report for the CSNM expansion which answers what would have happened if the expansion would not occur, so that is covered. I have a separate spreadsheet from GIS that shows what sales have occurred since 1993. I can pull numbers from prospectus, but not actual, that is where TSIS would be useful.

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Magee, Gerald (Jerry)** <gmagee@blm.gov>
Date: Thu, May 25, 2017 at 5:15 PM
Subject: Re: Updated Forms for Monument Review.
To: "Mastrofini, Kristi" <kmastrof@blm.gov>

Hi Kristi,

I'm not sure what attachment you're referring to. The back and forth w/ Carolina did not involve an attachment. One of the last of the trailing messages refers to the updated forms. Are those what you need?

I've attached them just in case, but if you needed something else, please let me know.

Sorry...

Jerry Magee
 BLM Oregon-Washington State Office
 Wilderness & Nat'l Conservation Lands Program Lead
 Telephone: (503) 808-6086
 FAX: (503) 808-6021
 Email: gmagee@blm.gov



On Thu, May 25, 2017 at 4:53 PM, Mastrofini, Kristi <kmastrof@blm.gov> wrote:

I can see the message trails but your referenced attachment did not come through. Can you please forward me the information.

Thank you,
Kristi Mastrofini

On Thu, May 25, 2017 at 1:18 PM, Hooper, Carolina <chooper@blm.gov> wrote:

Jerry,

In general for forestry related data-calls, Abe provides data on what we have done in the timber sale program, and the fairly immediate actual timber sale plan (what is currently planned by the Districts). He is the data steward for TSIS.

I provide data on what we planned to do (i.e. in the RMP's for WO). This is generally bigger picture (what-if scenarios). I provide any long range projections.. what was the projected volume in the next 50 years...? I usually use the RMP modeling data and also Microstorms (I'm the M*S data steward)

So, for this request, per my reading, Abe should answer questions 2d and 3d, and I answer question 4d.

I completely concur that data consistency is paramount. To my knowledge, the report that I attached is what has been used to quantify the longer-term impact's to the BLM's timber program from the CSME. It has certainly been shared with our lawyers, I wasn't actually involved in those conversations, so I'm not completely clear on its use.

I hope that is helpful.

Carolina

On Thu, May 25, 2017 at 11:18 AM, Magee, Gerald (Jerry) <gmagee@blm.gov> wrote:

Hi Carolina,

(b) (5) DPP



But if you're the person we should be communicating with instead, please set us straight so that we're all getting compatible data.

And WO approved of our plan to distinguish data among the Original Monument and the Expansion Area for this data call, so we hope to be able to add up the figures within those two categories.

Thanks for whatever help/advice you can provide.

Jerry Magee
BLM Oregon-Washington State Office
Wilderness & Nat'l Conservation Lands Program Lead
Telephone: (503) 808-6086
FAX: (503) 808-6021
Email: gmagee@blm.gov



On Thu, May 25, 2017 at 9:06 AM, Hooper, Carolina <chooper@blm.gov> wrote:

Madeline,

I have already calculated the project harvest from the CS monument expansion for other data requests. I used the expansion boundary and the modeling prodections for that area. I would prefer if you used this information as it has already been disseminated.

I've included a report that gives the information that you are looking for.

Let me know if you have any questions.

Carolina

On Thu, May 25, 2017 at 8:49 AM, Campbell, Madeline <m1campbe@blm.gov> wrote:

Hi,

We got this data call for the Cascade Siskyou national Monument. I can get at all of our outyear timber sale plans for the monument area, but I was wondering if you the modelling could give us an idea of annual growth/productivity for just that area (look at question 4)? I was thinking that if Carolina remodelled our area without that ground maybe we could use the original model minus that model to come up with annual growth for that area? Let me know if this is possible, if not, I will see what else I can come up with. Oh, and I need it by COB on Tues. Thanks.

----- Forwarded message -----
From: **Donald Holmstrom** <dholmstr@blm.gov>
Date: Wed, May 24, 2017 at 6:40 AM

Subject: Fwd: Updated Forms for Monument Review.

To: KFMT <blm_or_kf_management_team@blm.gov>, Madeline Campbell <m1campbe@blm.gov>

I would like to get together early this afternoon if with you to come up with a strategy if possible.

Let's talk about the TO stuff Loretta wants at the same meeting.

If 1:00 works for everyone, let's meet in the conference room.

Thanks
Don

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Magee, Gerald (Jerry)" <gmagee@blm.gov>
Date: May 23, 2017 at 5:02:44 PM PDT
To: Kristi Mastrofini <kmastrof@blm.gov>, Joel Brumm <jbrumm@blm.gov>, Donald Holmstrom <dholmstr@blm.gov>, William Kuntz <wkuntz@blm.gov>
Subject: Fwd: Updated Forms for Monument Review.

Hi all,

Attached are the corrected forms for the NM Data Call. Mostly corrections to numbering and lettering order, but I want to make sure we use the latest.

As you may have heard, WO clarified that State responses are due on May 31 to give them 2 days for WO oversight and submittal to DOI by June 2nd. So we'll need to have combined answers to each question by May 31 (requiring sharing of all needed info before that date). There was a strong hint for states w/ only one Monument to submit their info before May 31, so we'll do our best. Here are some highlights of Monday's nat'l conf call:

- I asked WO about our plan to distinguish the original Monument from the Expansion Area for all or most questions where the answers are very different (e.g., timber harvest, livestock grazing, energy infrastructure), and they agreed it would be a good idea. It becomes necessary anyway for the "5 yrs prior" questions, as each piece has a different timeframe.
- WO reiterated that we are not expected to create new data. We only provide what is already available and say when we don't have the necessary info to answer a certain question.
- The Bears Ears example distributed previously provides a model for dealing with the LUP questions when the Monument lands have not yet been subjected to a Monument specific RMP, so we'll follow that lead for the Expansion Area (OR and CA). We'll upload each pertinent RMP into the Drive site (uploading to the site I sent out and then I have permission to add it to the nat'l site). They like having both the doc in the Drive plus a link to the doc online.
- In the "Additional Questions" handout, we can use the boilerplate language if we don't have anything specific

to add. So for item (b), which is specific to cultural resources, we only add specifics about any particular cult res law if something very specific about them has been applied to this Monument. And we only mention ACECs under this question if they are for cult res purposes. I don't believe any of ours relate specifically to cult resources.

- In item (c), we only have the Soda Mtn Wilderness (no WSAs. I don't believe there are any LWCs on the OR side).
- For those docs that we upload to the nat'l site, DOI appreciates starting the filenames w/ the Question number (e.g., Q 1b Record of Decision for...)
- WO is excerpting economic report text pertinent to each of our NMs and uploading to our Drive folders, so we don't have to do that (although I don't see where it's being asked for. The report is tied to visitation).
- WO advised that if you need NOC assistance with RAS data for our unit, contact Lynnda Jackson (l50jacks@blm.gov) right away. (I just rec'd a link to data from Lynnda via Tim Fisher which I'm forwarding to you under separate cover. She must be trying to shortcut the inquiries.)

I believe this is what we have to work with for now. I'll fwd Lynnda's link in the next email.

Please let me know if you have other questions.

Thanks, and good luck.

Jerry Magee
BLM Oregon-Washington State Office
Wilderness & Nat'l Conservation Lands Program Lead
Telephone: (503) 808-6086
FAX: (503) 808-6021
Email: gimagee@blm.gov

--
Madeline Campbell
KFRA BLM
541-885-4130
m1campbe@blm.gov

--
Carolina Hooper
Forester Analyst
Oregon State Office -OR931

located at:
Northwest Oregon BLM office
1717 Fabry St. Salem, OR 97306
phone: 503-315-5927

fax: 503-375-5622

"It is a capital mistake to theorize before one has data. Insensibly one begins to twist facts to suit theories, instead of theories to suit facts" - Sherlock Holmes

--

Carolina Hooper
Forester Analyst
Oregon State Office -OR931

located at:

Northwest Oregon BLM office
1717 Fabry St. Salem, OR 97306
phone: 503-315-5927
fax: 503-375-5622

"It is a capital mistake to theorize before one has data. Insensibly one begins to twist facts to suit theories, instead of theories to suit facts" - Sherlock Holmes

--

Kristi Mastrofini
Ashland Field Manager
Medford District Office

541-618-2438

3040 Biddle Road
Medford, OR 97504

--

Kristi Mastrofini
Ashland Field Manager
Medford District Office

541-618-2438

3040 Biddle Road
Medford, OR 97504

**New Information Requested on Executive Order on the Review
of Designations Under the Antiquities Act**

BLM Responses to Additional Questions for [Name] National Monument

- a) Any legislative language, including legislation in appropriations bills
 - [Identify if there is any related legislation regarding your monument]
- b) Alternative options available for protection of resources applicable at each monument, such as Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act, Paleontological Resources Preservation Act, Archaeological Resources Protection Act, Historic Preservation Act and agency-specific laws and regulations.

The following could provide some options to protect specific resources found in [Name] National Monument. Protection would likely occur on a site-by-site or resource-by-resource basis and also would take a significant amount of time to accomplish under these various laws. These laws may not provide a mechanism to protect all cultural or tribal resources in [Name] National Monument. [Provide any specific information or examples for your monument.]

National Historic Preservation Act, (NHPA)

Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act, (NAGPRA)

Paleontological Resources Preservation Act, (PRPA)

Archaeological Resources Protection Act, (ARPA)

American Indian Religious Freedom Act (AIRFA)

- c) Designated wilderness areas (name, acreage), Wilderness Study Areas (name if there is one, acreage, type), and/or areas managed to preserve wilderness or roadless characteristics that are not WSAs.

[Insert monument specific response]

- d) Outstanding R.S. 2477 claims within a monument – type of road claimed and history

[Insert monument specific response]

- e) Maps

[Insert monument specific response]

f) Cultural or historical resources, particularly Tribal, located near a monument but not within the boundary that might benefit from inclusion in the monument

[Insert monument specific response]

g) Other – general questions or comments

[Insert monument specific response regarding any other information that should be considered in the review of your monument]

Call for Data Related to Review of National Monuments under EO 13792 (April 26, 2017)

Please help us gather information about each of the items listed below, for each of the National Monuments listed below in Table 1.

1. Documents Requested
 - a. Resource Management Plans/Land Use Plans
 - b. Record of Decision
 - c. Public Scoping Documents
 - d. Presidential Proclamation
2. Information on activities permitted at the Monument, including annual levels of activity from the **date of designation to the present**
 - a. Recreation - annual visits to site
 - b. Energy - annual production of coal, oil, gas and renewables (if any) on site; amount of energy transmission infrastructure on site (if any)
 - c. Minerals - annual mineral production on site
 - d. Timber - annual timber production on site (in board-feet, CCF, or similar measure)
 - e. Grazing - annual grazing on site (AUMs permitted and sold)
 - f. Subsistence - participation rates for subsistence activities occurring on site (fishing, hunting, gathering); quantities harvested; other quantifiable information where available
 - g. Cultural - list of cultural uses/values for site; number of sites; other quantifiable information where available
3. Information on activities occurring during the **5 years prior to designation**
 - a. Recreation - annual visits to site
 - b. Energy - annual production of coal, oil, gas and renewables (if any) on site; amount of energy transmission infrastructure on site (if any)
 - c. Minerals - annual mineral production on site
 - d. Timber - annual timber production on site (in board-feet, CCF, or similar measure)
 - e. Grazing - annual grazing on site (AUMs permitted and sold)
 - f. Subsistence - participation rates for subsistence activities occurring on site (fishing, hunting, gathering); quantities harvested; other quantifiable information where available
 - g. Cultural - list of cultural uses/values for site; number of sites; other quantifiable information where available
4. Information on activities that likely would have occurred annually from the date of designation to the present **if the Monument had not been designated**
 - a. Recreation - annual visits to site
 - b. Energy - annual production of coal, oil, gas and renewables (if any) on site; amount of energy transmission infrastructure on site (if any)
 - c. Minerals - annual mineral production on site
 - d. Timber - annual timber production on site (in board-feet, CCF, or similar measure)
 - e. Grazing - annual grazing on site (AUMs permitted and sold)

- f. Subsistence - participation rates for subsistence activities occurring on site (fishing, hunting, gathering); quantities harvested; other quantifiable information where available
- g. Cultural - list of cultural uses/values for site; number of sites; other quantifiable information where available

5. Changes to boundaries - dates and changes in size
6. Public Outreach prior to Designation - outreach activities conducted and opportunities for public comment
7. Terms of Designation

Table 1. List of National Monuments Included in Review (per Dol Press Release dated May 5, 2017)

National Monument	Location	Managing Agency
Basin and Range	Nevada	BLM
Bears Ears	Utah	BLM, USFS
Berryessa Snow Mountain	California	USFS, BLM
Canyons of the Ancients	Colorado	BLM
Carizzo Plain	California	BLM
Cascade Siskiyou	Oregon	#N/A
Craters of the Moon	Idaho	NPS, BLM
Giant Sequoia	California	USFS
Gold Butte	Nevada	BLM
Grand Canyon-Parashant	Arizona	BLM, NPS
Grand Staircase-Escalante	Utah	BLM
Hanford Reach	Washington	FWS, DOE
Ironwood Forest	Arizona	BLM
Mojave Trails	California	BLM
Organ Mountains-Desert Peaks	New Mexico	BLM
Río Grande del Norte	New Mexico	BLM
Sand to Snow	California	BLM, USFS
San Gabriel Mountains	California	USFS
Sonoran Desert	Arizona	BLM
Upper Missouri River Breaks	Montana	BLM
Vermilion Cliffs	Arizona	BLM
Katahdin Woods and Waters	Maine	NPS
Marianas Trench	CNMI/Pacific Ocean	FWS
Northeast Canyons and Seamounts	Atlantic Ocean	NOAA, FWS
Pacific Remote Islands	Pacific Ocean	FWS
Papahānaumokuākea	Hawai'i/Pacific Ocean	NOAA, FWS
Rose Atoll	American Sāmoa/Pacific Ocean	FWS